Toward uncertainty characterization for high-resolution near-shore models

OceanPredict '24 Paris, France 18-22 November 2024

Michael Dunphy, Maxim Krassovski, Nancy Soontiens

With thanks to colleagues at Environment and Climate Change Canada



ernment Gouvernement anada du Canada



Introduction

- We've built high-resolution ocean models for six Canadian ports & approaches
- Water level, near-surface current forecasts to support electronic navigation and drift prediction
- There is a need to provide uncertainty/error estimates with the forecasts
- *Ongoing* effort on uncertainty characterization:
 - Model setup
 - Ensemble-based approach
 - Some early results
 - Next steps

Vancouver Harbour surface currents



Model setup (one port)

- The port models are free runs without data assimilation or nudging
- GIOPS (1/4^o global)
 - \rightarrow RIOPS (1/12^o regional)
 - → CIOPS-W (1/36° Northeast Pacific)
 - \rightarrow Salish Sea 500 m
 - \rightarrow South Salish Sea 125 m
 - \rightarrow Vancouver Harbour 20 m

49.34 -

49.30 -

49.28

49.26

- Surface forced by HRDPS (2.5 km) atmospheric model
- Gauged and climatological runoff 49.32
- NEMO v3.6



Characterizing uncertainty

- Use ensemble of hindcasts,
 - Derive "climatological" model uncertainty
 - May be pessimistic vs. an error-of-the-day estimate
 - Check consistency with model-observation error statistics
- Precursor to potential ensemble-data assimilation system
- From perturbation tests at the 125 m domain,
 - Found deterministic lateral boundary "removes" ensemble spread akin to restoring term
- Synthesize ensembles at 500 m, downscale for 125m & 20m



Physics perturbations

STOPACK for NEMO (Storto and Andriopoulos, 2021)

- Online injection of perturbations
- AR(1) process with time scale τ
- 2D smoothed noise in space
- SPP:
 - τ = 10 d, filter 100 passes
- SPPT:
 - τ = 6 h, filter 75 passes, std 0.25
 - momentum inflation 0.5, sppt_step 1
- Stochastic Kinetic Energy Backscatter (SKEB)
 - Not enabled

Stochastic Parameter Perturbations (SPP)	STD
Vertical mixing (avt, avm)	10%, 10%
Lateral diffusivity (ahtu, ahtv, ahtw)	10%, 10%, 10%
Lateral viscosity (ahm1, ahm2)	10%, 10%
Bottom friction (bfr)	5%
Solar radiation penetration (qsi0)	5%
Relative wind coefficient (relw)	10%

Stochastically Perturbed Parameter Tendency (SPPT)Lateral diffusion (traldf)Solar radiation (traqsr)Lateral viscosity (traldf)

Surface forcing perturbations

- Lack ensemble atmospheric forcing at suitable resolution
 - Instead synthesize via an EOF-based method
- Used by numerous authors although details vary
 Ex: Jordà and De Mey (2010), Kim et al (2011), Ghantous et al (2020)
- Compute top N=40 modes, perturb the principal components with zero-mean AR(1) processes

 $F = U\Sigma V^T$ US: PCs, S: singular values, V: EOFs

 $F \rightarrow F + \sum_{N} A_{m}U_{m} \Sigma_{m}V_{m}^{T}$ A_{m} : unique AR(1) process per mode

- A_m parameters: τ , σ
 - Same across modes
 - Set via model-observation analysis (autocorrelation, coherence)

Multivariate decomposition in three groups:

Surface forcing components	Time scale τ	Standard deviation σ
Surface winds u, v	18 hours	30%
Air temperature, air humidity, longwave radiation	12 hours	20%
Sea level pressure	48 hours	10%

Short wave radiation and precipitation not perturbed

 Could, but extra care needed to avoid negative values and retain zero-mean perturbations

Runoff perturbations

- Apply AR(1) process to the runoff
 - \rightarrow Repurpose the STOPACK SPP random field by applying it to the runoff data in NEMO

experiments
CAPCIIIICIIIS

- Three experiments with increasing level of perturbations
- 10 members, three years run (2017-19)

Ensemble set	Physics	Wind	Air temp, humidity, longwave and pressure	Runoff
E	Х			
G	Х	Х		
К	Х	Х	Х	Х

Stochastic Runoff Perturbations	Time scale	STD
Freshwater discharge	10d	8%
Freshwater discharge temperature	10d	3%

Spinup of ensemble spread (first 3 months)

- Temperature from a point in the middle of the domain
- 2016-12-22 start
- E: 2-3 weeks for STOPACK spread spinup
- **G,K**: less than a week
- **K** not very different from **G**
 - → evidence that wind uncertainty is key



Spinup of ensemble spread (3 years)

- E: clear seasonal signal
- **G,K**: similar but stronger signal
- Large summer signal likely related to thermocline
- Similar spread spinup in other variables



Spatial variability of ensemble spread (surface speed)

- Monthly means from K experiment over three years
- Hotspots of spread visible
- Increased spread in summer months at A
- Cold-spot of spread at open boundary B



^{0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15} Ensemble spread (m/s)

Spatial variability of ensemble spread (surface salinity)

- Spread largest in central Strait of Georgia, much lower in Juan de Fuca strait
- Strong mixing region separates these regions



Ensemble spread (psu)

2

3

Ensemble drift experiment

- 883 daily drift tracks Apr 2017 Jan 2018 derived from 170 surface drifters
- OpenDrift with 2% windage (unperturbed wind)
- Tracked for K experiment members and mean
- \rightarrow Drift performance improved with mean

Experiment	Separation Rates (km/day)
Worst ensemble member	12.90
Best ensemble member	11.91
Ensemble mean (forcing)	11.89
Mean over ensemble members drift scores	12.49



Water level ensemble spread

- CRMSE is order 5 cm for non-tidal water level at gauges
- Spread in ssh is order 1 cm for K experiment
- K experiment has sea level pressure perturbed
- Broadly underdispersive





0.000 0.005 0.010 0.015 0.020 0.025 Ensemble spread (*m*)

Lateral boundary condition perturbations

- Lack ensemble forcing for lateral boundary
 - Apply the EOF-perturbing method
- Perturb principal components with AR(1) process, top N=40 modes
- Multivariate decomposition for
 - Non-tidal barotropic part
 - Baroclinic part

Stochastic LBC Perturbations	Time scale	STD
Barotropic non-tidal	1d	10%
Baroclinic	1d	10%

Ensemble set	Physics	Wind	Air temp, humidity, longwave and pressure	Runoff	Lateral boundary
E	Х				
G	Х	Х			
К	Х	Х	Х	Х	
M	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

Water level ensemble spread

- Adding LBC perturbations raises spread from 1 to 2 cm
 - Still underdispersive
- Scant change in other variables
 - Consistent with seaward estuarine circulation at surface



0.00

0.01

Ensemble spread (m)

0.02

Summary

- Initial set of ensemble hindcast experiments at 500 m domain
- Successively added perturbations for physics and for surface, runoff and lateral boundary forcing
- Wind perturbations appear important
- Spread underdispersive in water level
- Next steps
 - Systematic consistency analysis with observations
 - Revise perturbation parameters
 - Explore applying Stochastic Kinetic Energy Backscatter with STOPACK
 - Explore perturbing lateral boundaries with dynamically consistent perturbations
 - Longer simulation than 3 years
 - Downscale to 125 m and 20 m domains