

Observational systems

Strategy and validation for determining the location of ocean observation buoys

Nam-Hoon Kim¹, Bon-Ho Ku¹, Jin-Yong Jeong¹, Jin-Yong Choi¹, Jae-Il Kwon¹, Ki-Young Heo¹, Sung-Hwan Park¹, Yongchim Min¹

¹Marine Natural Disaster Research Department, Marine Domain Research Division, Korea Instittue of Ocean Science & Technology (KIOST)

Introduction

Conclusions

- \checkmark The deployment and operation of ocean buoys incur significant costs, necessitating a meticulous approach to site selection.
- ✓Currently, ocean buoys operating in Korean territorial waters are primarily concentrated in shallow coastal areas, resulting in a significant decline in observation density towards the open sea.
- \checkmark Consequently, this creates extensive observation gaps, highlighting the need for technical guidelines to prioritize the placement of new observation buoys

 \checkmark This study establishes a testbed in the South Sea of Korea and employs an optimization technique to determine the placement of new observation buoys in specific areas, considering the existing ocean buoy observation network operated by various relevant agencies. \checkmark The validity of the placement is verified based on OSSEs (Observing System Simulation Experiments) and data acquired from the actual deployment of new observation buoys within designed areas.

Objectives

Methodology

Results and Discussion

 \checkmark During the decision-making process, two priority installation areas (Regions B & C) were selected, and ocean observation buoys were deployed in the field (East China Sea 1 - ECB1, South of Jeju 1 - JSB1) to collect and analyze observational data from 2022 to verify the necessity of the deployment sites. ✓**[East China Sea 1]** Deployed in Region B for proactive observation of the low-salinity front caused by the CDW. The necessity of ECB1 was verified by comparing the salinity data collected from IORS, GORS and another newly deployed buoy (JSB1). ✓**[South of Jeju 1]** Deployed in Region C to monitor the boundary area between the East China Sea and the TWC, where tidal currents and the northeastward current of the TWC are predominant. Due to observation errors in current data from JSB1, surface drifter data deployed by KIOST over the past three years was utilized to verify the necessity of JSB1.

✓**[Region A]** Temperature cold bias : Summer cold-water mass (upwelling) in the southwestern coast

- of Korea
- ✓**[Region B]** Salinity cold bias Low-salinity front due to CDW (Changjiang Diluted Water front)
- ✓**[Region C]** Current bias Boundary of the East China Sea (tide dominant) and TWC (Tsushima Warm Current (northeastward current dominant)
- ✓**[Region D]** Current, temperature, salinity Northeastward current and thermohaline front of the TWC
- ✓**[Region E]** Salinity cold bias Low-salinity front caused by freshwater outflow from Gwangyang and Jinju Bays
- \checkmark The OSSEs were conducted to evaluate the performance of the designed observation network in capturing the spatiotemporal variability of oceanographic parameters.
- ✓The OSSEs framework incorporated a realistic ocean circulation model and synthetic observations to simulate the data assimilation process (True \rightarrow Synthetic obs. \rightarrow DA to Control)
- ✓Higher RMSE indicates greater dependence on observations, while lower RMSE suggests a decreased necessity for observations.

- \checkmark This study provides valuable insights into the practical design strategy and validation of ocean buoy observation networks using optimization, OSSEs, and real-time data collection, contributing to the design of effective ocean observation systems.
- \checkmark There are plans to obtain valuable oceanographic information in the study area, which serves as a gateway to Korea's territorial waters, through further expansion of the observation network and data assimilation in Korea Operational Oceanographic System (KOOS)
- ✓**[Step 1] Selection of Target Area:** The selected testbed is the South Sea of Korea
- ✓**[Step 2] Numerical Modeling:** Utilize Coastal KOOS (KIOST) data as ground truth (uvTS).
- ✓**[Step 3] Number of Design sites:** (Existing observation network) + # of Design sites (1-4).
- ✓**[Step 4] Optimization:** Multi-Objective Optimization (Weighted Sum Method & Brute-Force Optimizer, WSM-based BFO).
- ✓**[Step 5] Optimal Array:** Extract the optimal array from Pareto-optimal solutions.
- ✓**[Step 6] OSSEs:** Verify the effectiveness of data assimilation based on OSSEs (Observing System Simulation Experiments).
- ✓**[Step 7] In-situ Validation:** Evaluate the necessity of each deployment site using results obtained from in-situ buoy installations at designated locations.

<Fig. 6. Monthly averaged spatial distribution of water temperature for ground-truth (KOOS)>

<Fig. 7. Monthly averaged spatial distribution of salinity for ground-truth (KOOS)>

<Fig. 8. Spatial distribution of water temperature for validation of data assimilation effects for each candidate group determined through optimization>

<Fig. 9. (left) Candidate sites proposed by Kim et al. (2022) (black +) and deployed buoy locations (green circle), (middle) image of the deployed buoy, and (right) time-series of salinity at ECB1, IORS, JSB1, and GORS>

<Fig. 10. Trajectories of surface drifters deployed along line 317 by the National Institute of Fisheries Science (NIFS) from 2020 to 2022>

