



Proposition for a methodological Monitoring Reporting & Verification framework and prototype of operational processing chain for monitoring cropland C stock change at high resolution over large regions

Eric Ceschia, INRAE Director of Research at CESBIO

Contributions:

CESBIO: Ahmad Al Bitar, Veronica Antonenko, Andrea Geraud, Rémy Fieuzal, INRAE: Taeken Wijmer, Ludovic Arnaud, Ainhoa Ihasusta, Suzanne Reynders ISRIC: Gerard B.M. Heuvelink, Niels H. Batjes, Fenny van Egmond



SoilCET International Symposium, 24-26 January 2024, Rueil-Malmaison, France



Context/societal challenges



- Climate mitigation → remain below 1.5°C of temperature increase by 2100 (COP21, initiative 4 per 1000),
- Soil quality → more resilience to extreme climatic events, improved soil fertility...



How to assess the impacts of those practices in terms of CO₂ emissions/soil organic carbon storage at the plot scale but over large areas?

Need for a new generation of tools providing an exhaustive/objective vision of the effect of management on SOC stock changes adapted to different contexts of application



Different context of MRV the SOC stock changes



MRV = Monitoring, Reporting and Verification

- National inventories; Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) under the Paris agreement,
- Common Agricultural Policy? but operational methods are still missing for the current one
- Carbon offset programs (voluntary Carbon market) mainly on forest up to now but emerging for cropland (e.g. LABEL BAS CARBONE), and recently insetting programs are developing also,



Carbon Offset

Carbon Inset



Agrifood company (e.g. Nestlé, Danone)



Each context of application has its specificities, requirements & rules



A « jungle » of methods, guidelines, frameworks, certification standards for MRV of SOC stock changes



Demenois et al. (2021) Surviving the jungle of soil organic carbon certification standards: an analytic and critical review. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 27, 1.





Propositions of MRV frameworks for cropland









RETINA Project (UK): C market Climate, soi The lames crop & ≦∎ grassland 綆 PEcAn Hutton managemen Institute Each spatial grid Continuous data captur Select mode DNDC DayCent BASEOR Roth C 88 0 E Ngital RGB peraphy imagery Soil carbon stock estimation Physical sensor network at plot sca Mobile app interface for

Operational



ORCaSa's propositions for an harmonized MRV framework



Schematic representation of the components/building blocks and <u>information flow</u> for a generic MRV framework following several workshops with stakeholders and the analysis of existing MRV guidelines/methodologies





Challenges of methods for CO₂ removal







Sources: UNEP - Emissions Gap Report (2023), Adapted from Geden et al. (2022) and Pisciotta, Davids and Wilcox (2022).



Key message



One of the main challenge for promoting C storage in the agricultural soils is about Monitoring → need for scalable, multi-context, automatized, cheep, reliable, transparent methods for monitoring SOC stock changes in agricultural soils,

Following as much as possible CIRCASA's recommendations (see Deliverable 3.1):

- Modular & transparent approach with uncertainty assessment on SOC stocks,
- Several soil models instead of one → allowing ensemble approach,
- Assessment of the different components of the C budget in the development/verification process,
- Relying on strong data infrastructures following the FAIR principles: e.g. Copernicus, ICOS...
- High resolution, relying on remote sensing (e.g. Sentinel 2) to quantify biomass production & restitution to the soil,







Cover crop in red/pink, bare soil in blue/gree



Key message



One of the main challenge for promoting C storage in the agricultural soils is about Monitoring → need for scalable, multi-context, automatized, cheep, reliable, transparent methods for monitoring SOC stock changes in agricultural soils,

Following as much as possible CIRCASA's recommendations (see Deliverable 3.1):

- Modular & transparent approach with uncertainty assessment on SOC stocks,
- Several soil models instead of one → allowing ensemble approach,
- Assessment of the different components of the C budget in the development/verification process,
- Relying on strong data infrastructures following the FAIR principles: e.g. Copernicus, ICOS...
- High resolution, relying on remote sensing (e.g. Sentinel 2) to quantify biomass production & restitution to the soil,



An compliant with the Carbon sequestration certification framework under development in Europe





Limits of current methods for monitoring soil carbon

25-75 samples /ha !!!



AgriCarbon-EO

S

Parsition Parsition

ML-Bayesian Assimilation

SALT

Soil Carbon

INRAC

A hybrid method combining parcimonious process based modelling, remote sensing data assimilation and Machin Learning + In-situ data for cal/val

→ Strong focus on assessing the effect of biomass input to the soil on SOC stock changes

https://www.cesbio.cnrs.fr/agricarboneo/agricarbon-eo/



The AgriCarbon-EO processing chain



A pre-operational multi-context end-to-end processing chain.

Geoscientific Model Development Wijmer et al. (2024)

AgriCarbon-EO: v1.0.1: Large Scale and High Resolution Simulation of Carbon Fluxes by Assimilation of Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 Reflectances using a Bayesian approach Taeken Wijmer , Ahmad Al Bitar , Ludovic Arnaud, Rémy Fieuzal, and Eric Ceschia







components

02 03

Annual carbon budget

Straw cereals near Toulouse in 2019: scenario with straw restitution and no organic amendment







Effect of straw management on the annual SOC stock changes for straw cereals



Scenario 1: only grains are harvested and no organic amendment applied



Scenario 2: grains + straw are harvested and no organic amendment applied





Realisation A. Al Bitar

More results at https://www.impact4soil.com/



Effect of cover crops on the net annual CO₂ fluxes





Reality

Over the double simulation exercice

Neglecting the cover crop



Distribution of the differences between the 2 simulations



Difference between simulations

On average 200gC of Dry Mass/ha/yr or approx 0,3 t C/ha stored/yr thanks to the cover crops



Realisation A. Al Bitar



High resolution C budget maps with ACEO



Naturellement popcorn project (insetting) → farmers can receive a premium from the natais company depending on the amount of C they store in the soil thanks to cover crops biomass inputs

Crop biomass + Uncertainties

Realisation T. Wijmer





Cover crop biomass + Uncertainties



10m resolution maps make it

AMG soil model

possible:

 to define an optimal soil sampling plan (high precision/low cost) for validation of delta SOC stocks at plot/farm level

+ farmers data and the

 to detect faster SOC stock changes by sampling areas with contrasted dynamics

First C budget map at 10m resolution in 2019, for rotation cover crop/corn/wheat (Villeneuve farm, Bézéril, France)









Scaling-up

Capturing intra-field to national scale spatial variability



Dry above ground biomass at harvest for winter wheat fields in 2019

10m resolution 0.6 billion pixels Daily estimates

In less than 1 day on the



supercomputer

× ¥1

Realisation: A. Al-Bitar, V. Antonenko, L. Arnaud

Coherent-set Of agri-environmental variables



Realisation: A. Al Bitar, V. Antonenko, L. Arnaud



Day of emergence

Dry Above ground biomass





Maturation phase

Net annual CO₂ flux



Senescent phase

ORCaSa Validation exercises for the C budget components

Bias: -7.17 R2: 0.97 RMSE: 125.52

2000

E1500

5

g

DAM

simulation

observation

NEE

15

Bias: 1.03 R2: 0.76 RMSE: 2.28





More crops coming and no validation against soil measurements of SOC stock changes yet because data with measures and remeasures since Sentinel 2 data were launched are missing



Limits and perspectives for ACEO



- Diagnostic approach only although some scenarii can be tested (e.g. straws management, effect of cover crops) and good transposability to other pedoclimatic regions
- Limited to a few crops and cover crops
 progressive acquisition of new in-situ datasets for CAL/VAL
 in Europe (ICOS sites, collab with companies & cooperatives)



ICOS flux tower network





Limits and perspectives for ACEO



- Diagnostic approach only although some scenarii can be tested (e.g. straws management, effect of cover crops) and good transposability to other pedoclimatic regions
- Limited to a few crops and cover crops
 progressive acquisition of new in-situ datasets for CAL/VAL
 in Europe (ICOS sites, collab with companies & cooperatives)
- So far few soil models/formalisms have been tested in ACEO → test the coupling to new models (e.g. RothC) in different context of applications (PhD A. Ihasusta)
- Use of optical remote sensing data only can be limiting for operational applications (long cloudy periods) → combining optical satellite data with radar satellite data (Sentinel-1) will allow to overcome this issue (PhD A. Géraud in collab with Netcarbon)
- Access to reliable management data on straw management and organic amendments is currently a strong limitation
 use of API to access FMIS is not enough, management data must be verified first (agricultural advisor)

Quality, accessibility and spatial resolution of the soil data/products (e.g. initial SOC stock, texture) use high resolution remote sensing data for digital soil mapping (collab with E. Vaudour) will partly solve this issue



Conclusions



• As pointed out by CIRCASA/ORCaSa → need to develop a consistent framework for MRV of SOC stock changes and methods to assess the components of the C budget (+ uncertainties) accounting for the spatial variability of biomass production/restitution and of soil properties.

Based on this observation:

- We proposed and harmonised MRV framework for SOC stock changes assessment,
- •_Development of an innovative hybrid Monitoring approach enabling dynamic and objective monitoring of the impact of biomass restitution to the soil on the SOC stock changes -> AgriCarbon-EO,
- Automated, large scale, high resolution, allowing uncertainty analysis at low cost but the lack of access to
 1) reliable farm management data and 2) better spatialized soil properties products limits the systematic
 implementation of ACEO for the main crops,
- <u>Adapted to different contexts of MRV (insetting, offsetting, CAP, NDCs) with the ambition of becoming a key component of the MRV service of the Soil Carbon IRC</u>.







Thanks for your attention!!



More about our work: https://www.cesbio.cnrs.fr/agricarboneo/



Contact : eric.ceschia@inrae.fr and ahmad.albitar@gmx.com



The SAFYE-CO2 model





Objective : To force the crop model (SAFYE-CO2) to reproduce at plot level the dynamics and development intensity of the crop/cover crops as seen by satellite → more precise and objective biomass estimates, implicit consideration of stress (N, water, etc.) and of some practices,

Accounting for soil processes:

At first, a very simple modelling approach for simulating soil respiration was chosen (empirical function of T°C and SWC) because high uncertainty in soil properties of soil products (GSM, SoilGrids) for upscalling \rightarrow more recently coupling with the soil C models (e.g. AMG) activated when accurate soil data availlable